arXiv:1610.04582v1 [math.GT] 14 Oct 2016

The Khovanov homology of infinite braids

Gabriel Islambouli (gfi8ps@virginia.edu)
Michael Willis (msw3ka@virginia.edu)
Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia

October 17, 2016

Abstract

We show that the limiting Khovanov chain complex of any infinite positive braid categorifies the Jones-Wenzl projector. This result extends Lev Rozansky's categorification of the Jones-Wenzl projectors using the limiting complex of infinite torus braids. We also show a similar result for the limiting Lipshitz-Sarkar-Khovanov homotopy types of the closures of such braids. Extensions to more general infinite braids are also considered.

1 Introduction

In the Temperley-Lieb algebra TL_n on n strands over the fraction field $\mathbb{C}(q)$, there is a special idempotent element P_n, the n-strand Jones-Wenzl projector [Wen87]. Such projectors have been studied extensively, and are used in the construction of various 3-manifold and spin network invariants [KL94].

In the Bar-Natan categorification of TL_n [BN05], the categorified projector can be represented by a semi-infinite chain complex $\mathbf{P}_n$ of Temperley-Lieb diagrams and maps (cobordisms) between them (by semi-infinite we mean a complex with homological degree bounded below but unbounded above). The graded Euler characteristic of this complex recovers a power series expansion in the variable q (or q^{-1}) of the rational terms in the original P_n. Ben Cooper and Slava Krushkal constructed such $\mathbf{P}_n$ inductively in [CK12]. At roughly the same time, in [Roz14], Lev Rozansky showed that such a $\mathbf{P}_n$ could be constructed as the (properly normalized) limiting Khovanov chain complex $KC(\mathcal{T}^\infty)$ (taken in the sense of [BN05]; see Section 2.2) associated to the infinite torus braid $\mathcal{T}^\infty$ on n strands. Universality properties described in [CK12] ensure that the two constructions must be chain homotopy equivalent.

The main goal of the paper is to prove the following theorem, showing that the categorified projector $\mathbf{P}_n$ may be obtained using essentially any infinite positive braid in the place of the infinite torus braid.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be any complete semi-infinite positive braid, viewed as the limit of positive braid words*

$$\mathcal{B} = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots\sigma_{j_\ell}.$$

Then the limiting Khovanov chain complex $KC(\mathcal{B})$ satisfies

$$KC(\mathcal{B}) := \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \mathrm{h}^a\mathrm{q}^b KC(\sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots\sigma_{j_\ell}) \simeq \mathbf{P}_n \tag{1}$$




Figure 1: A possible closure $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ of the infinite braid $\mathcal{B}$, and the corresponding closure $\overline{\mathcal{T}^\infty}$ of the infinite twist.

where h^a *and* q^b *denote homological and q-degree shifts, respectively. In other words,* $KC(\mathcal{B})$ *for any such* $\mathcal{B}$ *is chain homotopy equivalent to the categorified projector* $\mathbf{P}_n$*.*

We will clarify the notion of completeness, as well as the grading shifts a and b, in Section 3. Here we quickly note the following simple corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *The (suitably normalized) Kauffman bracket of any complete semi-infinite positive braid* $\mathcal{B}$ *stabilizes to give a power series representation of the Jones-Wenzl projector* P_n*.*

In [LS14], Robert Lipshitz and Sucharit Sarkar defined a homotopy type invariant $\mathcal{X}(L)$ of a link L, which we shall refer to as the Lipshitz-Sarkar-Khovanov (abbreviated as L-S-K) homotopy type of L. $\mathcal{X}(L)$ is a suspension spectrum of a CW complex with cellular cochain complex satisfying $C^*(\mathcal{X}(L)) \simeq KC^*(L)$. In [Wil16, Wil], one of the authors showed that the homotopy types of closures of infinite twists also have a well-defined limit with cochain complex recovering the corresponding closure of Rozansky's $\mathbf{P}_n$ (this result was independently proven in [LOS]). Abusing the notation for q-degree shifts, we have the following theorem similar to Theorem 1.1 above:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ *denote any closure of a complete semi-infinite positive braid* $\mathcal{B}$ *as in Theorem 1.1. Let* $\overline{\mathcal{T}^\infty}$ *denote the corresponding closure of the infinite twist. Then*

$$\mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) := \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \Sigma^a \mathrm{q}^b \mathcal{X}(\overline{\sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots\sigma_{j_\ell}}) \simeq \mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{T}^\infty}) \tag{2}$$

where again a and b stand for homological shifts (via suspensions Σ*) and q-degree shifts.*

Figure 1 illustrates a closure of $\mathcal{B}$ and the corresponding closure of $\mathcal{T}^\infty$. Note that as of this writing, the L-S-K homotopy type for braids and/or tangles has not yet been defined; as such, Theorem 1.3 is the closest notion available to a lifting of Theorem 1.1 to the stable homotopy category.

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are essentially the same argument. In short, we show that $KC(\mathcal{B})$ contains a copy of $KC(\mathcal{T}^\infty)$ along with some error terms which are pushed out further and further so that in the limit we see only $KC(\mathcal{T}^\infty)$ (and similarly for $\mathcal{X}(B)$). In slightly more detail, the assumption that $\mathcal{B}$ is complete will ensure that $\mathcal{B}$ 'contains' the crossings that would make up the infinite twist $\mathcal{T}^\infty$, as well as potentially many other 'extra' positive crossings. If we resolve all of the 'extra' crossings as 0-resolutions, we see $\mathcal{T}^\infty$. If we resolve some of the 'extra' crossings as 1-resolutions, we see mixtures of twists and turnbacks, which allow for simplifications via pulling the turnbacks through the twists. Careful tracking of the homological degrees during this process



Figure 2: The diagrams $e_i \in TL_n$ that form the standard multiplicative basis.

will show that, in the limit, $KC^i(\mathcal{B})$ will match $KC^i(\mathcal{T}^\infty)$ for any i, while careful tracking of the q-degrees will achieve a similar result for $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{B})$.

Although the statements of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are for complete semi-infinite positive braids, the results together with properties of the Jones-Wenzl projectors (and the corresponding homotopy types) quickly lead to several corollaries involving more general notions of infinite braids. Roughly speaking, any tangle diagram that contains positive infinite braids has Khovanov homology and homotopy type (for links) matching that of the same diagram with infinite twists replacing the infinite braids. More precise statements along these lines can be found in the final section of the paper.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we review the relevant background needed for the Khovanov homology of the infinite braids, as well as recalling Rozansky's results on the infinite twist. In Section 3 we give a detailed proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3, highlighting the slight differences between this and the first proof. Finally in Section 5 we explore corollaries of these theorems that give statements about more general infinite braids.

1.1 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank their advisor Slava Krushkal for posing the original question about the Kauffman bracket of iterated braids, as well as for many helpful discussions in the early stages of pursuing the result.

2 Background and Conventions

2.1 The (Categorified) Temperley-Lieb Algebra and the Jones-Wenzl Projectors

In this paper, TL_n will denote the Temperley-Lieb algebra on n strands over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$, where q is a formal variable. For a full introduction to this algebra and some of its uses in 3-manifold theory, see [KL94]. Here we simply recall that TL_n is generated by planar diagrams of crossingless (n, n) tangles which we shall draw vertically. Multiplication is defined by (downward) concatenation, and there is the local relation that a circle can be deleted with the resulting diagram scaled by the factor $(q + q^{-1})$. The multiplicative identity is the diagram of n vertical lines, and will be denoted by I_n. It is well-known that TL_n is multiplicatively generated by the diagrams $\{e_i | i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ described in Figure 2.

We shall use the notation $\mathbb{TL}_n$ to denote the graphical categorification of TL_n of Dror Bar-Natan in [BN05]. An excellent summary of this construction is provided in section 2.3 of [CK12]. The objects are chain complexes of (direct sums of) q-graded Temperley-Lieb diagrams, with differentials based on 'dotted' cobordisms between such diagrams modulo some local relations that allow for

(among other things) an isomorphism between the circle and the direct sum $q^{-1}(\emptyset) \oplus q(\emptyset)$. The exact nature of these maps and relations will not be relevant for the arguments in this paper.

Within TL_n there is a special idempotent element P_n characterized by the following axioms:

I. $P_n \cdot e_i = e_i \cdot P_n = 0$ for any of the standard multiplicative generators $e_i \in TL_n$. This is often described by stating that P_n is "killed by turnbacks".

II. The coefficient of the n-strand identity tangle I_n in the expression for P_n is 1.

These are the Jones-Wenzl projectors, originally defined in [Wen87]. The simplest non-trivial example is P_2, shown below.

$$P_2 = I_2 - \frac{1}{q^{-1}+q} \left\langle \asymp \right\rangle \tag{3}$$

$$= I_2 + (-q + q^3 - q^5 + \cdots) \left\langle \asymp \right\rangle . \tag{4}$$

In the categorified world of $\mathbb{TL}_n$ there is also a special semi-infinite chain complex $\mathbf{P}_n$, characterized up to chain homotopy equivalence by the similar axioms:

I. $\mathbf{P}_n \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \simeq \{*\}$ for any TL_n generator e_i viewed as a one-term complex in $\mathbb{TL}_n$. That is, $\mathbf{P}_n$ is "contractible under turnbacks".

II. The identity diagram I_n appears only once, in homological degree zero.

III. All negative homological degrees and q-degrees of $\mathbf{P}_n$ are empty, and the differentials are made up of degree zero maps.

Such a complex $\mathbf{P}_n$ is called a *categorified Jones-Wenzl projector*. For more details on this axiomatic definition, see [CK12] where such complexes are constructed inductively. The simplest non-trivial example is $\mathbf{P}_2$, shown below (compare to Equation (4)).

$$\mathbf{P}_2 = \rangle\langle \longrightarrow q \asymp \longrightarrow q^3 \asymp \longrightarrow q^5 \asymp \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{5}$$

The maps in the complex (5) are given explicitly in [CK12]. Note that the graded Euler characteristic for $\mathbf{P}_2$ gives precisely the power series representation of P_2 from Equation (4). The infinite complex is necessitated by the lack of a straightforward notion of categorifying a rational function of q, leading to the use of the corresponding power series instead.

Remark 2.1. The version of $\mathbf{P}_n$ described above is based upon expanding the ratios in P_n as power series in the variable q. However, it is equally valid to expand them as power series in the variable q^{-1}. Thus the third axiom of $\mathbf{P}_n$ could be replaced by a similar axiom declaring the *positive* homological and q-gradings to be empty. We shall focus on the q-expansion in this paper, leading to the statements about infinite positive braids; however, the same story could be told focusing on the q^{-1}-expansion, leading to equivalent statements about infinite negative braids. See also Remark 2.8.

2.2 The Kauffman Bracket and Khovanov Chain Complex of a Tangle

The Temperley-Lieb algebra TL_n is related to (n,n)-tangles via the Kauffman bracket $\langle\cdot\rangle$, a function converting (n,n)-tangles into elements of TL_n (see [KL94]). The categorified version of this is the Khovanov chain complex $KC(\cdot)$ which associates to an (n,n)-tangle a chain complex in $\mathbb{TL}_n$, whose graded Euler characteristic returns the Kauffman bracket of the tangle (for the original definition of Khovanov homology, see [Kho00], with the extension to tangles in [Kho02]; we follow the framework for tangles in [BN05] where the functor $KC(\cdot)$ is referred to as the *formal Khovanov Bracket*, denoted $[\![\cdot]\!]$). There exist several different normalization conventions for both the Kauffman bracket and the Khovanov chain complex in the literature. In the hopes of keeping some consistency with one author's earlier work, we adopt the following conventions.

$$\left\langle \times \right\rangle = q \left\langle) \, (\right\rangle - q^2 \left\langle \smile \right\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$\left\langle \times \right\rangle = -q^{-2} \left\langle) \, (\right\rangle + q^{-1} \left\langle \smile \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$KC\left(\times \right) = \mathrm{q}^1 \left( ) \, (\longrightarrow \mathrm{q}^1 \smile \right) \tag{8}$$

$$KC\left(\times \right) = \mathrm{h}^{-1}\mathrm{q}^{-2} \left( ) \, (\longrightarrow \mathrm{q}^{-1} \smile \right) \tag{9}$$

Here we use the symbols h and q to indicate homological and q-degree shifts respectively, as in [Roz14]. The maps in the Khovanov chain complexes are saddle cobordisms between the two resolutions, and the vertical resolutions are placed in homological degree zero (so that after the h^{-1} shift for the negative crossing $\times$, it is the horizontal resolution that is in homological degree zero). Under this convention, both the Kauffman bracket and the Khovanov chain complex are true invariants of tangles. That is to say, they are invariant under all three Reidemeister moves, without the need for any grading shifts. The tradeoff for this seemingly natural choice is that many of the formulae required for manipulating the Khovanov complexes of braids will require various shifts of both homological and q-degrees, as we shall see in the following sections.

Remark 2.2. Moving forward, we will be referring to crossings ($\times$) as *right-handed* rather than positive, and braids are then called *right-handed* if every crossing within them is right-handed (the usual definition of a positive braid). As such, the braids of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 will henceforth be referred to as right-handed rather than positive. Note that, in the case of a braid viewed as an (n,n)-tangle with all of the strands oriented upward, right-handedness and positivity of crossings are equivalent. However, if the strands of a braid are oriented in different directions (as may be required if the braid is closed in the 3-sphere by a turnback, say), we could see right-handed crossings that are actually negative, as in Equation (7) above.

The crossing rules (8) and (9) allow us to view the Khovanov chain complex of a tangle as a mapping cone in the usual way. Using our normalization conventions, the relevant statement is as follows.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{T}$ *be an oriented tangle, with a specified crossing* $\times$*. Let* $\mathbf{T}_0$ *denote the same tangle with the crossing replaced by its 0-resolution* $) \, ($*, and let* $\mathbf{T}_1$ *denote the same with the 1-resolution* $\smile$*. Then the shifted Khovanov complex of* $\mathbf{T}$ *can be viewed as a mapping cone:*

$$\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N} KC(\mathbf{T}) = \mathit{Cone}\left(\mathrm{h}^{n_0^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N_0} KC(\mathbf{T}_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{h}^{n_1^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N_1+1} KC(\mathbf{T}_1) \right). \tag{10}$$

Here n^- indicates the number of negative crossings in $\mathbf{T}$, while N indicates $n^+ - 2n^-$, the number of positive crossings minus twice the number of negative crossings in $\mathbf{T}$. The subscripts n_i^- and N_i indicate the same counts of crossings in $\mathbf{T}_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.

The main arguments of this paper will use this construction in an iterated fashion over many crossings. As such, the Cone() notation and the subscripts for the grading shifts quickly become unwieldy. For this reason, we drop the word Cone from the notation and adopt the following convention:

Definition 2.4. The symbols n^- and $N := n^+ - 2n^-$ will count positive and negative crossings in whatever tangle they appear with. Thus Equation (10) will be written as

$$\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathbf{T}) = \left(\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathbf{T}_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_1)\right) \tag{11}$$

and it will be understood that the various n^- and N are actually different numbers within this mapping cone.

Corollary 2.5. *Given tangles $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T_0}$, and $\mathbf{T_1}$ as in Lemma 2.3, there is a chain map $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathbf{T}) \to \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathbf{T_0})$ with mapping cone that is chain homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T_1})$.*

Now in our normalization, KC itself is invariant under all Reidemeister moves. Combining this with the notational convention of Definition 2.4 gives the following shifts for the (negative) Reidemeister I and Reidemeister II moves that we shall need later.

$$\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC\left(\right) \simeq \mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+2}KC\left(\right) \tag{12}$$

$$\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC\left(\right) \simeq \mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC\left(\right) \simeq \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC\left(\right) \tag{13}$$

Compare these shifts to those that occur using the grading conventions in [Roz14]. Meanwhile, since Reidemeister III moves only change the arrangement of crossings rather than their number or orientation, we see that Reidemeister III moves incur no shifts to either homological or q-grading even within this renormalized setting.

2.3 The Infinite Twist as Categorified Projector

Definition 2.6. In the braid group $\mathfrak{B}_n$ on n strands, the symbol $\mathcal{T}$ will denote the *fractional (right-handed) twist* $\mathcal{T} := \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}$. The *full (right-handed) twist* is then the braid $\mathcal{T}^n$. See Figure 3 for clarification.

In [Roz14] Lev Rozansky provided a notion of a system of chain complexes stabilizing to some limiting complex, and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 2.2 in [Roz14])**.** *The shifted Khovanov chain complexes $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{T}^{kn})$ stabilize to a limiting complex*

$$KC(\mathcal{T}^\infty) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{T}^{kn}) \tag{14}$$

which satisfies the axioms of a categorified projector $\mathbf{P}_n$.




Figure 3: The fractional twist $\mathcal{T}$ and the full twist $\mathcal{T}^n$ in the case $n = 4$.

Remark 2.8. In fact Rozansky's original result concerned left-handed rather than right-handed twisting, but the methods clearly translate to the right handed case with no trouble. The left-handed version recovers a power series expansion of P_n in the variable q^{-1}; see Remark 2.1.

For a full account of the notions involved with such limiting complexes, see [Roz14]. Here we recall only the material most helpful for our current purposes, translated to right-handed twisting.

Definition 2.9. Given a chain map $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ between chain complexes, let $|f|_h$ denote the maximal degree d for which the complex $\mathrm{Cone}(f)$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a complex $\mathbf{C}$ that is trivial below homological degree d.

In essence, $|f|_h$ denotes the maximal homological degree through which the map f gives a chain homotopy equivalence between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. Note that all of the chain complexes being discussed here have differential *increasing* homological degree by 1 (as in the Khovanov chain complex).

Definition 2.10. An *inverse system* of chain complexes is a sequence of chain maps

$$\{\mathbf{A_k}, f_k\} := \mathbf{A_1} \xleftarrow{f_1} \mathbf{A_2} \xleftarrow{f_2} \cdots \tag{15}$$

Such a system is called *Cauchy* if the maps f_k satisfy $|f_k|_h \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

Definition 2.11. An inverse system $\{\mathbf{A_k}, f_k\}$ has a *(inverse) limit* $\mathbf{A}_\infty := \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{A_k}$ if there exist maps $\tilde{f}_k : \mathbf{A}_\infty \to \mathbf{A_k}$ that commute with the system maps f_k such that $|\tilde{f}_k|_h \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.12 (Theorem 2.5 in [Roz14])**.** *An inverse system of chain complexes $\{\mathbf{A_k}, f_k\}$ has a limit $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ if and only if it is Cauchy.*

Unwinding the definitions and results in [Roz14], we see that the limiting complex $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ of Theorem 2.12 is, up through homological degree d, chain homotopy equivalent to the corresponding $\mathbf{A_{k_0}}$ beyond which all of the maps $f_{k\geq k_0}$ satisfy $|f_{k\geq k_0}|_h \geq d$. In this sense the chain complexes $\mathbf{A_k}$ stabilize to give the limiting complex $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ "one homological degree at a time". Thus if we have a second inverse system of $\mathbf{B}_\ell$'s with homotopy equivalences to the $\mathbf{A_k}$'s up through ever-increasing homological degrees, we should be able to conclude that $\mathbf{B}_\infty \simeq \mathbf{A}_\infty$. The following proposition clarifies this idea.

Proposition 2.13. *Suppose* $\{\mathbf{A_k}, f_k\}$ *and* $\{\mathbf{B}_\ell, g_\ell\}$ *are Cauchy inverse systems with limits* $\mathbf{A}_\infty = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{A_k}$ *and* $\mathbf{B}_\infty = \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \mathbf{B}_\ell$ *respectively. Suppose there are maps*

$$F_\ell : \mathbf{B}_\ell \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{z}(\ell)}$$

($z(\ell)$ *is an increasing function of* ℓ*, not necessarily strict) forming a commuting diagram with the system maps* f_k *and* g_ℓ*. If* $|F_\ell|_h \to \infty$ *as* $\ell \to \infty$*, then* $\mathbf{B}_\infty \simeq \mathbf{A}_\infty$*.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.13 in [Roz14], the definition of the limit provides maps that compose with the maps F_ℓ to give maps $\mathbf{B}_\infty$ to all of the $\mathbf{A_k}$, and thus there is a map $F_\infty : \mathbf{B}_\infty \to \mathbf{A}_\infty$ making commutative diagrams with all of the $\tilde{f}_k$ (see Theorem 3.9 in [Roz14]). All of the other maps have homological order going to infinity as ℓ and k go to infinity, forcing $|F_\infty|_h = \infty$ and thus $\mathbf{B}_\infty \simeq \mathbf{A}_\infty$. Figure 4 illustrates the situation that will occur within this paper. □

3 Proving Theorem 1.1

3.1 An Overview

Definition 3.1. A *semi-infinite right-handed braid* $\mathcal{B}$ on n strands is a semi-infinite word in the standard generators σ_i of the braid group $\mathfrak{B}_n$

$$\mathcal{B} := \sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots \tag{16}$$

Such a braid is called *complete* if each σ_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ occurs infinitely often in the word for $\mathcal{B}$.

Such an infinite braid $\mathcal{B}$ is called right-handed because there are no left-handed crossings (σ_i^{-1}) allowed.

Definition 3.2. Given a semi-infinite right-handed braid $\mathcal{B} = \sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots$, the ℓ^{th} *partial braid of* $\mathcal{B}$ shall be the braid $\mathcal{B}_\ell := \sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots\sigma j_\ell$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be based upon Proposition 2.13 and, in particular, Figure 4. With that diagram in mind, we have the following correspondences.

1. The chain complexes $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{T}^{kn})$ will play the role of the $\mathbf{A_k}$.
2. Theorem 2.7 then guarantees that $\mathbf{A}_\infty \simeq \mathbf{P}_n$.
3. Given a semi-infinite right-handed braid $\mathcal{B} := \sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots$, the chain complexes $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{B}_\ell)$ will play the role of the $\mathbf{B}_\ell$.
4. Each map g_ℓ will be precisely the map of Corollary 2.5 obtained by resolving the crossing $\sigma_{j_{\ell+1}}$. The maps f_k are just compositions of such maps, as in [Roz14].
5. The maps F_ℓ will be constructed via iterating Corollary 2.5 over a careful choice of crossings to resolve.




Figure 4: The diagram for Proposition 2.13. Given the two Cauchy systems $\{\mathbf{A_k}, f_k\}$ and $\{\mathbf{B}_\ell, g_\ell\}$, [Roz14] provides the complexes $\mathbf{A}_\infty, \mathbf{B}_\infty$ and the maps $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$. If we can find maps F (shown in red), then [Roz14] also provides the map F_∞ (blue). If we can show $|F_\ell|_h \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$, then F_∞ is a chain homotopy equivalence.

6. The function $k = z(\ell)$ will be based upon how far along the infinite braid $\mathcal{B}$ we must look before we can "see" the braid $\mathcal{T}^{nk}$ sitting within $\mathcal{B}_\ell$.

7. The estimates on $|F_\ell|_h$ will be based upon Corollary 2.5 together with careful use of Equations (12) and (13). Similar arguments will estimate $|g_\ell|_h$ to guarantee that $\{\mathbf{B}_\ell, g_\ell\}$ was indeed Cauchy.

3.2 The Details

Fix the number of strands n. We begin with a semi-infinite, right-handed, complete braid $\mathcal{B}$ and set out to prove Theorem 1.1 via Proposition 2.13 using the list of the overview. The points 1-4 of the overview require no further explanation. We begin with points 5 and 6, that is, the construction of the map

$$F_\ell : \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{B}_\ell) \to \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{T}^k)$$

where $k = z(\ell)$ must be determined.

Given the braid $\mathcal{B}_\ell$, we start at the top of the braid (beginning of the braid word) and seek the first occurrence of generator σ_1. From that point we go downward and find the first occurrence of σ_2, and so forth until we reach σ_{n-1}. In this way we have found crossings within $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ that would, in the absence of the crossings we "skipped", give a single copy of $\mathcal{T}^1$. We connect these crossings with a dashed line going rightward then downward as in Figure 5, and we call such a set of crossings a *diagonal*. The crossings involved are called *diagonal crossings*. Having found such a diagonal within $\mathcal{B}_\ell$, we work our way back *up* the braid $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ in the same way going from the diagonal σ_{n-1} to the previous (not necessarily diagonal) σ_{n-2} and so forth until we reach another σ_1 (if there were no skipped crossings, we are now back at the σ_1 we started with). We begin the second diagonal from the first σ_1 that is *below* this σ_1 we found at the end of our upward journey. In this way we find disjoint diagonals with as few "skipped" crossings between them as possible. See Figure 5 for clarification.

Let $y(\ell)$ denote the number of diagonals that can be completed within $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ in this way. The function $z(\ell)$ determining the destination of the map F_ℓ is

$$z(\ell) := \left\lfloor \frac{y(\ell)}{n} \right\rfloor \tag{17}$$

where $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ denotes the integer floor function. Thus $z(\ell)$ gives the number of *full* twists that can be seen within $\mathcal{B}_\ell$. The map F_ℓ is then the composition of maps coming from Corollary 2.5 where we are resolving all non-diagonal crossings in $\mathcal{B}_\ell$. Note that the order in which we resolve the crossings is irrelevant, and in fact the map F_ℓ can be viewed as a projection from the single mapping cone of the direct sum of the Khovanov maps assigned to each non-diagonal crossing. However in this paper we shall consider F_ℓ as a large composition starting from resolving the bottom-most (non-diagonal) crossing. From this consideration it should be clear that the maps F_ℓ commute with the maps f_k and g_ℓ of the two systems $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{T}^k)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{B}_\ell)$, which are also just maps based on resolving bottom-most crossings.

We now move on to point 7 from the overview. We wish to estimate $|F_\ell|_h$. Viewing F_ℓ as a composition of projections from crossing resolutions as above, we estimate the homological order of the cone of the i^{th} such projection with the help of Corollary 2.5. That is, we view $\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{B}_\ell)$




Figure 5: An illustration of finding diagonals within some $\mathcal{B}_\ell$. In step 1, we find the first diagonal illustrated in red. In step 2, we work our way back up from the diagonal σ_{n-1} as in the blue arrows until we arrive at the σ_1 marked by a blue star. In step 3, we begin forming the second diagonal starting from the first σ_1 below the starred crossing from step 2.

as an iterated mapping cone

$$\mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}KC(\mathcal{B}_\ell) = \Big(\Big(\Big(\cdots \to \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_3)\Big) \to \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_2)\Big) \to \mathrm{h}^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_1)\Big)$$

and we consider the minimum homological order of

$$\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_i)$$

where $\mathbf{T}_i$ is a tangle that is obtained from $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ by resolving the first $i-1$ non-diagonal crossings (starting from the bottom of the braid) as 0-resolutions, and then resolving the i^{th} non-diagonal crossing as a 1-resolution. Iterating Lemma 2.3 over all of the remaining non-diagonal crossings, we can see $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_i)$ as a large multi-cone as illustrated in Figure 6.

Note that every diagram within the large multi-cone for $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_i)$ is made up of diagonal crossings and possible turnbacks from 1-resolutions ($\asymp$) between the diagonals. Indeed we are guaranteed at least the one turnback pair ($\asymp$) already present within $\mathbf{T}_i$, but there may be many more. Now we turn to the key lemma that produces the required estimate on $|F_\ell|_h$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be any (n,n) tangle diagram involving precisely y diagonals of crossings, no other crossings, and at least one pair of turnbacks between the diagonals (see the diagrams in Figure 6). Then $\mathcal{D}$ can be simplified to a new diagram $\mathcal{D}'$ via Reidemeister 3, Reidemeister 2, and negative Reidemeister 1 moves. During this process, all of the Reidemeister 2 and negative Reidemeister 1 moves remove crossings, and the total number of such moves is at least y.*

Proof. We view the y diagonals as partitioning the diagram $\mathcal{D}$ into $y+1$ *zones*, and we call such a zone *empty* if there are no turnbacks ($\asymp$) within it. By assumption there is at least one non-empty zone. We start from the topmost non-empty zone, and choose the 'bottommost' such pair in this




Figure 6: A multicone presentation for an example $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_i)$. The shifts and the KC notation are suppressed. Each term carries a shift of $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r}$, where r is the sum of the three resolution numbers above each diagram indicating which resolution was taken for each of the three non-diagonal crossings (as numbered in the starting diagram).




Figure 7: Pulling a turnback downward through a diagonal via Reidemeister II.




Figure 8: An example of pulling a turnback downward through two diagonals via negative Reidemeister I and Reidemeister II moves.

zone (ie, the last σ_{j_m} within the given zone where a 1-resolution occurred). The lower turnback can then be passed through the diagonals below it one at a time via Reidemeister II moves (Figure 7) and negative Reidemeister I moves (first step of Figure 8) until the turnback reaches the next non-empty zone. Note that, following a Reidemeister I move into an empty zone, multiple moves are required to pass through the next diagonal (also illustrated in Figure 8). Nevertheless, it is clear that during this process, the number of such Reidemeister moves will be at least the same as the number of diagonals passed through.

Having now reached the second non-empty zone, we find the bottommost turnback within this zone and continue the process until the final zone is reached. This accounts for passing through all the diagonals below the topmost non-empty zone. Finally, we return to that starting zone and choose the 'topmost' turnback within that zone (ie the first σ_{j_m} within that zone where a 1-resolution occurred) and pass this turnback through all of the diagonals above it. If the first move required is a Reidemeister II move (ie the two strands connected by the turnback are adjacent on the defining torus of the twist), this process will be the same as the downward one. If the first move is a (negative) Reidemeister I move, this process may require some Reidemeister III moves as illustrated in Figure 9. However it is clear that there will still be at least as many Reidemeister II and negative Reidemeister I moves as there are diagonals, and thus the total number of such moves is at least y as desired.

More conceptually, a sequence of diagonals with empty zones between them corresponds to a torus braid. A topmost turnback below this (or bottommost turnback above this) corresponds to connecting two strands of the torus braid. The simpler cases above correspond to these two strands being adjacent, while the more complex case of Figure 9 corresponds to connecting two non-adjacent strands. Either way, the turnback can be pulled up (or down) through the center of the torus as in Figure 10. Passing through diagonals corresponds to passing by other strands, which must eliminate crossings, thus necessitating at least one Reidemesiter I or II move. The




Figure 9: An example of pulling a turnback upward through diagonals. Each step indicates passing through one diagonal, so that the total number of negative Reidemeister I and Reidemeister II moves is clearly at least the number of such diagonals.




Figure 10: A topmost turnback entering a set of diagonals corresponds to a turnback being pulled through the center of a torus braid as shown here. The blue arrow indicates the direction of the pulling.

Reidemeister I moves must be negative because they are undoing right-handed twisting. □

Corollary 3.4. *Every term* $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r}KC(\mathcal{D})$ *in the multicone expansion of any* $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_i)$ *(see Figure 6) is chain homotopy equivalent to a complex of the form* $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1+s_h}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r+s_q}KC(\mathcal{D}')$ *where* s_h *and* s_q *are homological and q-degree shifts depending on the expansion term, and* r *is the number of 1-resolutions taken to arrive at* $\mathcal{D}$ *from* $\mathbf{T_i}$*. Moreover, for any term in the expansion,* $s_q \geq s_h \geq y$*.*

Proof. The shifts come from Equations (12) and (13). □

As an example of Corollary 3.4, consider the (111)-entry from Figure 6. We illustrate the process of Lemma 3.3, keeping track of the shifts, for this entry in Figure 11. In this case we get $s_h = 4 = y$, while $s_q = 5$. As an illustration of the case where Reidemeister III moves are also required, we show the process for the (001)-entry in Figure 12 where $s_h = 8 > y$ and $s_q = 10$. Notice that further simplifications are possible in the first case, indicating that our given bounds will rarely be sharp.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We build a commuting diagram as in Figure 4 using the listed points of the overview. The construction of F_ℓ as a composition of mapping cone projections p ensures $|F_\ell|_h$ is at least as large as the minimum $|p|_h$ amongst all such p. As described above, this is precisely the minimum homological order amongst all the $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}KC(\mathbf{T}_i)$ via Corollary 2.5. Corollary 3.4 guarantees that the minimal homological degree of any term in the multicone expansion of such a complex (and thus for the entire complex) is at least y, the number of diagonals found in $\mathcal{B}_\ell$. Thus we have

$$|F_\ell|_h \geq y.$$

The assumption that the semi-infinite braid $\mathcal{B}$ is complete ensures that $y \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$. The mapping cones of the maps g_ℓ also involve diagrams with turnbacks, so that a similar (and simpler)




Figure 11: The process of Lemma 3.3 shown for the (111)-entry from Figure 6, illustrating the degree shifts of Corollary 3.4. The turnback that is about to be 'pulled' is indicated by a blue star.




Figure 12: The process of Lemma 3.3 shown for the (001)-entry from Figure 6, illustrating the degree shifts of Corollary 3.4. The turnback that is about to be 'pulled' is indicated by a blue star.

argument also ensures $|g_\ell|_h \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$, verifying that this system is Cauchy and has a limit. Thus we may use Proposition 2.13 to conclude the proof. □

4 Proving Theorem 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is a very simple generalization of the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the setting of the L-S-K homotopy type. In short, we build a diagram similar to Figure 4 out of homotopy types instead of chain complexes. Then instead of tracking the homological order below which the maps are chain homotopy equivalences, we track the q-degree below which the maps are stable homotopy equivalences. Corollary 3.4 ensures that this maximal q-degree of equivalence goes to infinity as the sequence of maps goes to infinity.

To begin with, we recall some of the key properties of the homotopy type $\mathcal{X}(L)$ of an oriented link L. The first will allow us to focus on a single q-degree at a time.

Proposition 4.1 (Theorem 1 in [LS14])**.** *The L-S-K homotopy type $\mathcal{X}(L)$ of an oriented link L decomposes as a wedge sum over q-degree*

$$\mathcal{X}(L) = \bigvee_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{X}^j(L) \tag{18}$$

where for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cochain complex of $\mathcal{X}^j(L)$ matches the Khovanov chain complex in q-degree j

$$C^i(\mathcal{X}^j(L)) = KC^{i,j}(L). \tag{19}$$

Note that for any link L the number of non-empty q-degrees is finite, and so the wedge sum of Proposition 4.1 is actually finite.

The following property lifts Lemma 2.3.

Proposition 4.2 (Theorem 2 in [LS14])**.** *Let L be an oriented link, with a specified crossing*  *. Let L_0 denote the same link with the crossing replaced by its 0-resolution*  *, and let L_1 denote the same with the 1-resolution*  *. Then for each q-degree $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the corresponding homotopy types fit into a cofibration sequence*

$$\Sigma^{n^-}\mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L_0) \hookrightarrow \Sigma^{n^-}\mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L) \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma^{n^-+1}\mathcal{X}^{j-N+1}(L_1) \tag{20}$$

where Σ denotes the suspension operator, and the notations n^- and N follow the conventions set out in Definition 2.4.

The cofibration sequence (20) can be combined over the wedge sum (18) to give a cofibration sequence over the full homotopy type that we write as

$$\Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_0) \hookrightarrow \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L) \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma^{n^-+1}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1}\mathcal{X}(L_1) \tag{21}$$

where, abusing notation slightly, we again use the q operator to indicate a shifting of the q-degrees assigned to each wedge summand of $\mathcal{X}(L)$.

Corollary 4.3. *If for some q-degree $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $KC^{j-N+1}(L_1)$ homologically trivial, then the inclusion map*

$$\Sigma^{n^-}\mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L_0) \hookrightarrow \Sigma^{n^-}\mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L) \tag{22}$$

is a stable homotopy equivalence.

Proof. The cofibration sequence (20) gives rise to a long exact sequence on homology, and the assumption ensures that the map above gives isomorphisms on all homology. Therefore by Whitehead's theorem it is a stable homotopy equivalence (there is no notion of a π_1 obstruction in the stable homotopy category). □

We now generalize the definitions needed to discuss stable limits of infinite sequences of L-S-K homotopy types, as in Definition 2.10 and Theorem 2.12. We do not need a notion of sequences of homotopy types being 'Cauchy', but we do need some notion of stability.

Definition 4.4. A map between L-S-K homotopy types $f : \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L) \to \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L')$ is called *q-homogeneous* if f preserves normalized q-degrees between wedge summands. That is,

$$f = \vee_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} f^j \tag{23}$$

for q-preserving maps

$$f^j : \mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L) \to \mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L).$$

In this case, we let $|f|_q$ denote the maximal q-degree d for which f^j is a stable homotopy equivalence for all $j \leq d$.

It is clear from the definitions that the maps of Equation (21) are q-homogeneous.

Definition 4.5. An infinite sequence of q-homogeneous maps

$$\Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_0) \xrightarrow{f_0} \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_2) \xrightarrow{\cdots} \tag{24}$$

will be called a *direct q-system* of L-S-K homotopy types, denoted $\{\mathcal{X}(L_k), f_k\}$. Such a system is called *q-stable* if $|f_k|_q \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

Theorem 4.6. *A q-stable direct q-system $\{\mathcal{X}(L_k), f_k\}$ has homotopy colimit*

$$\mathcal{X}(L_\infty) := hocolim\left(\Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_0) \xrightarrow{f_0} \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_2) \xrightarrow{\cdots}\right) \tag{25}$$

$$= \bigvee_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{X}^j(L_\infty) \tag{26}$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}^j(L_\infty) := hocolim\left(\mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L_0) \xrightarrow{f_0} \mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L_2) \xrightarrow{\cdots}\right)$$

and for each j, there exists lower bound k_j such that

$$\mathcal{X}^j(L_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{X}^{j-N}(L_k) \ \ \forall k \geq k_j.$$

Proof. This is clear from the properties of a homotopy colimit after unwinding the definitions. □

Remark 4.7. Notice that the maps of the sequence (24) go in the opposite direction as those of Equation (15) considered earlier for chain complexes. This is to be expected, since the inverse system of Equation (15) should be recovered by the singular cochain functor C^* which is *contravariant*. Similarly, our limits here are homotopy *co*limits, as opposed to the inverse limits considered in the previous section.

With these ideas in place, we can state and prove the homotopy version of Proposition 2.13 providing the diagram corresponding to Figure 4.

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose $\{\mathcal{X}(L_k), f_k\}$ and $\{\mathcal{X}(M_\ell), g_\ell\}$ are q-stable direct q-systems with homotopy colimits $\mathcal{X}(L_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{X}(M_\infty)$ respectively, as in Equation (25). Suppose there are q-homogeneous maps*

$$F_\ell : \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_{z(\ell)}) \to \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(M_\ell)$$

($z(\ell)$ is an increasing function of ℓ, not necessarily strict) forming a commuting diagram with the system maps f_k and g_ℓ. If $|F_\ell|_q \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$, then we have

$$\mathcal{X}(L_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{X}(M_\infty).$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Proposition 2.13. See Figure 13. The properties of homotopy colimits provide the existence of q-homogeneous maps $\tilde{f}_k : \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(L_k) \to \mathcal{X}(L_\infty)$ and $\tilde{g}_\ell : \Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}\mathcal{X}(M_\ell) \to \mathcal{X}(M_\infty)$ as well as the map $F_\infty : \mathcal{X}(L_\infty) \to \mathcal{X}(M_\infty)$ which must commute with all of the other maps. Fixing some q-degree j, Theorem 4.6 and the assumption on the maps F_ℓ guarantee that the wedge summand maps $\tilde{f}_k^j$, $\tilde{g}_\ell^j$ and F_ℓ^j all become stable homotopy equivalences once k and ℓ are large enough. Thus F_∞ must also provide a stable homotopy equivalence $F_\infty^j : \mathcal{X}^j(L_\infty) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{X}^j(M_\infty)$. This happens for all j, so in fact F_∞ is the desired (q-homogeneous) stable homotopy equivalence. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Given a specified closure $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ of a complete semi-infinite right-handed braid $\mathcal{B}$ on n strands, we build the diagram of Figure 13 in a manner completely analogous the building of the diagram of Figure 4.

- The links L_k are the corresponding closures of the full twists $L_k := \overline{\mathcal{T}^{nk}}$.
- The maps f_k are compositions of the cofibration maps of Equation (22) coming from resolving the crossings of the last full twist in $\overline{\mathcal{T}^{n(k+1)}}$ as 0-resolutions (see [Wil]).
- The links M_ℓ are the corresponding closures of the partial braids $\mathcal{B}_\ell$, that is, $M_\ell := \overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell}$.
- The maps g_ℓ are the inclusion maps of Equation (21) coming from resolving the last crossing of $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ as a 0-resolution.
- The maps F_ℓ are compositions of inclusions coming from resolving non-diagonal crossings as 0-resolutions precisely as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

See Figure 1 for the notion of corresponding closures of braids. It is shown in [Wil] that the direct system $\{\mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{T}^{nk}}), f_k\}$ is q-stable, with homotopy colimit $\mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{T}^\infty})$ satisfying many properties similar to closures of the Jones-Wenzl projectors $\mathbf{P}_n$. The proof that $|F_\ell|_q$ and $|g_\ell|_q$ go to infinity with ℓ is analogous to the similar statement about $|F_\ell|_h$ and $|g_\ell|_h$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In short, we use Corollary 4.3 to change the question to one of homological triviality of $KC^{j-N+1}(\overline{\mathbf{T_i}})$ for closures of braids $\mathbf{T}_i$ involving diagonals and turnbacks, as before. The estimate of Corollary 3.4 still holds, but now we are concerned with the minimum q-value (rather than minimum homological value) of a complex of the form $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1+s_h}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r+s_q}KC(\overline{\mathcal{D}'})$ (where again $\mathcal{D}'$ came from a partial resolution $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbf{T}_i$ by pulling turnbacks through diagonals). For this purpose we define

$$\sharp^\circ(L(0)) := \text{the number of circles in the all-zero resolution of the link } L. \tag{27}$$




Figure 13: The diagram for Proposition 4.8, omitting the normalization shifts $\Sigma^{n^-}\mathrm{q}^{-N}$ on each term. Compare to Figure 4. Given the two q-stable systems $\{\mathcal{X}(L_k), f_k\}$ and $\{\mathcal{X}(M_\ell), g_\ell\}$, the homotopy colimits $\mathcal{X}(L_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{X}(M_\infty)$ come with maps $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$. If we find the q-homogeneous maps F (red) and show that $|F_\ell|_q \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$, then the map F_∞ on the colimits (blue) is a stable homotopy equivalence.

Then the minimum q-degree for generators of the complex $\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1+s_h}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r+s_q}KC(\overline{\mathcal{D}'})$ is precisely

$$\min_q\left(\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1+s_h}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r+s_q}KC(\overline{\mathcal{D}'})\right) = 1 + r + s_q - \sharp^\circ(\overline{\mathcal{D}'}(0)) \tag{28}$$

since each circle in the all-zero resolution of the link can contribute a generator v_- with q-degree -1.

Now the number of circles in a resolution is bounded above by the number of local maxima ($\bigvee$) present in the diagram. The number of such maxima in the all-zero resolution of any $\overline{\mathcal{D}'}$ is comprised of two parts, those within the tangle $\mathcal{D}'$ and those without (so those due to the specified closure). The second category will contribute some constant c that is independent of the tangle $\mathcal{D}'$, and indeed independent of the infinite braid $\mathcal{B}$ at all. The first category will be bounded above by the number of 1-resolutions that were taken to arrive at $\mathcal{D}$ from $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ (note that the process of pulling turnbacks through diagonals does not create maxima). But this number is precisely $1 + r$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\min_q\left(\mathrm{h}^{n^-+1+s_h}\mathrm{q}^{-N+1+r+s_q}KC(\overline{\mathcal{D}'})\right) &= 1 + r + s_q - \sharp^\circ(\overline{\mathcal{D}'}(0)) \\ &\geq 1 + r + s_q - (1 + r + c) \\ &\geq y - c\end{aligned}$$

which certainly goes to infinity as y does. The assumption of completeness ensures $y \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$, and so we have $|F_\ell|_q \to \infty$ as $\ell \to \infty$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the argument for $|g_\ell|_q$ is a simpler version of this, and so we are done. □

5 More General Infinite Braids

In this section we collect a handful of corollaries of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 for dealing with other types of infinite braids.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complete semi-infinite braid containing only finitely many left-handed crossings ($\nwarrow\!\!\!\nearrow$). Then $KC(\mathcal{B})$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a shifted categorified Jones-Wenzl projector $\mathrm{h}^a\mathrm{q}^b\mathbf{P}_n$, and similarly for the L-S-K homotopy types $\mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq \Sigma^a\mathrm{q}^b\mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{T}^\infty})$.*

Proof. If there are only finitely many left-handed crossings, we can view $\mathcal{B}$ as the product of the finite partial braid $\mathcal{B}_m$ which contains all of these crossings, and the infinite braid $\mathcal{B}'$ which consists of the rest of $\mathcal{B}$. Then the result follows from the similar properties of $\mathbf{P}_n$ (see [Roz14]) and $\mathcal{X}(\overline{\mathcal{T}^\infty})$ (see [Wil]). The shifts a and b will depend on the orientations of the crossings in the finite $\mathcal{B}_m$. □

To give the most general possible statement, we start with a definition.

Definition 5.2. A *tangle involving semi-infinite braids* is a tangle diagram $\mathbf{Z}$ where any finite number of interior discs $\mathcal{D}^i$ containing only the identity tangles I_{n_i} are formally replaced by complete semi-infinite right-handed braids $\mathcal{B}^i$ (see Figure 14).

Theorem 5.3. *For any tangle $\mathbf{Z}$ involving finitely many complete semi-infinite right-handed braids $\mathcal{B}^i$ on n_i strands, the Khovanov chain complex $KC(\mathbf{Z})$ (defined in a limiting sense analogous to*




Figure 14: An example of a closed tangle involving semi-infinite braids $\mathcal{B}^1$ and $\mathcal{B}^2$. As long as both are right-handed and complete, the resulting Khovanov chain complex and homotopy type will match those of the same diagram with infinite twists in place of the $\mathcal{B}^1$ and $\mathcal{B}^2$.

that of $KC(\mathcal{B})$ in Theorem 1.1) is chain homotopy equivalent to the Khovanov complex of the same tangle where the $\mathcal{B}^i$ have been replaced with the corresponding $\mathbf{P}_{n_i}$. Similarly, if the tangle $\mathbf{Z}$ is closed, then $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{Z})$ is stably homotopy equivalent to the same tangle where the $\mathcal{B}^i$ have been replaced with the corresponding infinite twist $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}_{n_i}$.

Proof. This is immediate for the projectors $\mathbf{P}_{n_i}$ which are defined via braids that allow for stitching; the corresponding statement for homotopy types of tangles involving infinite twists was proved in [Wil], which allows for this generalization. □

Theorem 5.3 allows us to consider many sorts of infinite (right-handed) braids by breaking them up into complete semi-infinite (right-handed) braids. For instance, a non-complete semi-infinite braid is equivalent to a tangle involving a finite braid and two or more complete semi-infinite braids below it (see Figure 15). As another example, a bi-infinite braid $\mathcal{B} = \cdots \sigma_{j_{-2}}\sigma_{j_{-1}}\sigma_{j_0}\sigma_{j_1}\sigma_{j_2}\cdots$ can be viewed as the composition of two semi-infinite braids $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^- \cdot \mathcal{B}^+$ (see Figure 16). In this way we see that many different notions of infinite braids have limiting Khovanov complex (and L-S-K homotopy type, if closed) made up of combinations of Jones-Wenzl projectors (or homotopy types involving closures of infinite twists). Choices of how to arrange the diagrams (for instance, where to begin the semi-infinite complete braid in Figure 15) lead to normalization shifts within the resulting complex or homotopy type similar to those in [Wil] and [Roz14] (as in Corollary 5.1).




Figure 15: Viewing a non-complete infinite braid as a combination of two complete ones, which limit to their respective $\mathbf{P}_{n_i}$.




Figure 16: Viewing a bi-infinite braid as a combination of two semi-infinite ones, which limit to their respective $\mathbf{P}_{n_i}$.

References

[BN05] Dror Bar-Natan. Khovanov's homology for tangles and cobordisms. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:1443–1499, 2005.

[CK12] Benjamin Cooper and Vyacheslav Krushkal. Categorification of the Jones-Wenzl projectors. *Quantum Topol.*, 3(2):139–180, 2012.

[Kho00] Mikhail Khovanov. A categorification of the Jones polynomial. *Duke Math. J.*, 101(3):359–426, 2000.

[Kho02] Mikhail Khovanov. A functor-valued invariant of tangles. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2:665–741, 2002.

[KL94] Louis H. Kauffman and Sóstenes L. Lins. *Temperley-Lieb recoupling theory and invariants of* 3*-manifolds*, volume 134 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.

[LOS] Andrew Lobb, Patrick Orson, and Dirk Schuetz. A Khovanov stable homotopy type for colored links. arXiv:1602.01386.

[LS14] Robert Lipshitz and Sucharit Sarkar. A Khovanov stable homotopy type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):983–1042, 2014.

[Roz14] Lev Rozansky. An infinite torus braid yields a categorified Jones-Wenzl projector. *Fund. Math.*, 225(1):305–326, 2014.

[Wen87] Hans Wenzl. On sequences of projections. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, 9(1):5–9, 1987.

[Wil] Michael Willis. A colored Khovanov homotopy type and its tail for B-adequate links. arXiv:1602.03856.

[Wil16] Michael Willis. Stabilization of the Khovanov homotopy type of torus links. To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 2016. arXiv:1511.02742.